

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,121	12/30/2003	Jeffrey O. Saunders	VPI/02-05 US	3285
27916	7590 09/12/2006		EXAMINER	
VERTEX PHARMACEUTICALS INC. 130 WAVERLY STREET CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139-4242			BARKER, MICHAEL P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			DATE MAILED: 09/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/749,121	SAUNDERS ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Michael P. Barker	1626		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1, 3-5 and 10-24 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-9 and 25-74 is/are vis/are allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3-5 and 10-24 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 6-9 and 25-74 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	withdrawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 11) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 12) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 13) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 14) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 15) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 16) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 17) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 18) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 11) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 11) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 11) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 11) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 12) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 13) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 14) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 15) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 16) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 17) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 18) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 11) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 11) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 11) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 12) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 13) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 14) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 15) The specification is objected to by the	epted or b) objected to by the formula of the formula of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See it is required if the drawing(s) is object to be seen to	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant amended Claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 10, 12, and 14. Applicant canceled Claim 2.

Claims 6-9 and 25-74 are withdrawn from consideration. Therefore, Claims 1, 3-5, and 10-24 are pending in this Application. Claims 1, 3-5, and 10-24 are rejected.

Examiner's Comment

The search on which the rejections of the February 6, 2006 Office Action was based was incomplete. Not included in the search were those compounds covered by Group I of formula (I-A). As this is the Examiner's mistake, this Office Action is non-final, as the rejections which follow could have been made in the previous Office Action.

Response to August 7, 2006 Remarks Document

Applicant's amendments to Claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 10, 12, and 14 are acknowledged. These amendments overcome the rejections put forth in the February 6, 2006 Office Action. Those rejections are withdrawn.

Applicant's cancellation of Claim 2 is acknowledged. Applicant states the withdrawn Claims 6-9 and 25-74 are canceled. However, these claims are not, in fact, canceled in the most recent Claim Amendments document received August 7, 2006. While it is understood Applicant intended to cancel Claims 6-9 and 25-74, for now, they are considered to be withdrawn and will not be considered canceled until the Claim Amendments reflect the cancellation.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,121 Page 3

Art Unit: 1626

Claim Rejections

35 USC § 102(e)

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (1) Claims 1, 3-5, and 10-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by WIPO Publication No. WO 2004/050646 A1 (Kenny, et al.), published June 17, 2004, having a priority date of November 29, 2002. Kenny, et al. discloses numerous compounds which anticipate Applicant's Markush language of Claim 1. A specific example is found on p. 34, namely Example 5, 5-{4-[2-(methylcarbamoyl)ethyl]phenyl}-1,2,5-thiadiazolidin-3-one 1,1-dioxide.
- (2) Claims 1, 3-5, and 10-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004023974 A1 (Coppola, et al.), published February 5, 2004, having a priority date of April 3, 2002. Coppola, et al. discloses numerous compounds which anticipate Applicant's Markush language of Claim 1. A specific example is found on p. 48, namely Example 36, 5-(2,4-diaminophenyl)-1,1-dioxo-1,2,5-thiadiazolidin-3-one.

35 USC § 102(b)

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1626

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-5, and 10-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bright, et al., *Journal of Immunological Methods*, "Competitive particle concentration fluorescence immunoassays for measuring anti-diabetic drug levels in mouse plasma", Vol. 207 (1), pp. 23-31, (1997). At p. 28, Bright et al. discloses Compound 12, which anticipates Applicant's Markush language of Claim 1.

Objections

Claims 6-9 and 25-74 are objected to for containing nonelected subject matter.

Claim 5 recites a compound of formula (I-A); however, the accompanying table refers to " $-T_mQ$ ", which is a reference to compounds of formula (I). It is assumed this is a typographical error and that Applicant intended " $-T_mQ$ " to simply read "Q".

Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael P. Barker whose telephone number is (571) 272-4341. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 AM- 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph K. McKane, can be reached at (571) 272-0699. The unofficial fax phone for this group are (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,121 Page 5

Art Unit: 1626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is viable through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael P. Barker

Patent Examiner, AU 1626 Technology Center 1600 KAMAL A. SAEED, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

(for) Joseph McKane

Supervisory Patent Examiner, AU 1626

e soverd

Technology Center 1600